United States District Court

for the District of North Carolina Western United States of America v. Case No: 0419 3:21CR00257-001 James Tyrique Shepherd USM No: 73353-509 Date of Original Judgment: 01/25/2023 Date of Previous Amended Judgment: Pro se (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any) Defendant's Attorney ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION **PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)** Upon motion of \square the defendant \square the Director of the Bureau of Prisons \square the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, **IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is: \boxtimes DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment months is reduced to (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of (See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted) Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 02/01/2023 shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. Signed: July 2, 2024 Frank D. Whitney Effective Date: United States District Judge (if different from order date)

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal. (Not for Public Disclosure)

CASE NUME	T: James Tyrique Shepherd BER: 0419 3:22CR00257 Western District of North C			- - -		
Previous Tota Criminal Hist	DETERMINATION OF al Offense Level:	GUIDELIN to		GE (<i>Prior to Any Departures</i>) Amended Total Offense Level: Criminal History Category: Amended Guideline Range:	to	months
☐ The redu☐ The prev time of s is compa	•	e amended g nt imposed substantial led guidelin	guideline i was less tl assistance e range.	range. nan the guideline range applicable departure or Rule 35 reduction,		

III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (See Chavez-Meza v. United States, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018))

Defendant is not eligible for a sentence reduction under Amendment 821 to the United States Sentencing guidelines. "Status Points" were not applied to calculate Defendant's criminal history category in this case, therefore he is not eligible under Part A of the Amendment. Defendant also does not meet the criteria for a "Zero-Point Offender" reduction under § 4C1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines because he scored ten criminal history points.